and the second section of the

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

TRANSPORTED HARVERS OF AMERICA	COLANDO UT MASS.
UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMER AFL-CIO/CLC,	04-12489WGY
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No
v.) COMPLAINT
Pliant Corporation,) JURY TRI AMOUNTANDED 1/150 C) SUMMONS ISSUED
Defendant.) LOCAL RULE 4.1) WAIVER FORM
MAGISTRATE JUDGE 71-A	COMPLAINT BY DPTY. CLK. M.P. DATE WAS 10.1

Plaintiff United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC ("Union"), brings this action to redress Defendant Pliant Corporation's ("Company") violation of the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN"), 29 U.S.C. §2101 et. seq. The Defendant violated WARN by failing to provide sixty days' notice to the Union before permanently shutting down production and closing the Company's Harrisville, Rhode Island facility on September 10, 2004. This suit seeks to compel payment of statutory damages owed to these aggrieved employees under WARN, including backpay and benefits for each day of the violation.

Parties

1. Plaintiff Union is the exclusive collective bargaining representative of all production employees at the Harrisville, Rhode Island plant of Pliant Corporation within the meaning of Section 9(a) of the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 159(a), and is a representative of such employees of the Company within the meaning of WARN, 29 U.S.C. §2101(a)(4). The Union maintains its International Headquarters at Five Gateway Center,

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222, and provides representation within this judicial district.

Plaintiff Union brings this action on behalf of the Company's Union-represented employees who were aggrieved by the Company's violation of the WARN Act described below.

2. Defendant Company operates an industrial plant in Franklin County,
Massachusetts, within this judicial district. Defendant Company is a employer within the
meaning of the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(1)(A), in that it is a business enterprise
employing over 100 employees at its facilities in Harrisville, Rhode Island, and 18 other plants in
the United States; all 19 plants in the United States are owned and operated by the Company.
The Company also owns and operates eight plants outside the United States.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5), and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337.
 - 4. Venue lies within this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Factual Allegations

- 5. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff Union was the recognized collective bargaining agent and exclusive representative of the maintenance and production workers at the Harrisville, Rhode Island plant of the Company. United Steelworkers of America, Local 16031-13 ("Local"), represented these employees for certain limited purposes.
- 6. The Company's Harrisville, Rhode Island plant constitutes a single site of employment within the Company's larger business enterprise as that term is used within the WARN Act.

- 7. At all times relevant to this action, the Company had at least 50 full-time employees at its Harrisville, Rhode Island facility.
- 8. As of July 30, 2004, thirty-eight employees were working in managerial, clerical, maintenance, and production positions at the Harrisville Plant.
- 9. As of July 30, 2004, eight unit members were on temporary medical leaves of absence. All eight employees remained on the payroll throughout their temporary disability leave, continued to receive health insurance supplied by the Company, and intended to return to work upon their recovery.
- employees had a reasonable expectation of recall. Lay-offs due to fluctuations in product demand were extremely common at the Harrisville Plant. At the Harrisville plant, the Company made flexible plastic packaging—i.e., plastic film used for a wide variety of uses ranging from food packaging to medical storage. The Company also made this product in other plants, including its facilities in South Deerfield, Massachusetts and Mexico City, Mexico. During the Company's history, it was not uncommon for the Company to shift production from one plant to another, on the basis of demand within regional markets. At various times over the 3 years in which the Company operated the Harrisville Plant, there were generally between four and twelve production workers laid off. Under the Contract between the parties, unit members who have been laid off retained recall rights for 2 years. Three of the four union employees on lay off had been laid off for less than two months; the other had been laid off for less than five months.
- 12. On or around August 24, 2004, thirty-six employees were working in managerial, clerical, maintenance, and production positions at the Harrisville Plant, eight employees were on temporary disability leave, and six employees were on temporary lay off. As of August 24,

2004, the six laid off employees had every reasonable expectation that they would return to work once production increased at the Harrisville plant.

- On August 25, 2004, the Company announced to the Union and all employees 13. that it intended to permanently shutdown the Harrisville facility, due to the age of the facility and the equipment therein. The Company's announcement stated at production lines would close as of September 30, 2004, and the entire plant would close October 15, 2004.
- Prior to the Company's August 25 announcement, the Union had no notice that 14. the Company intended to close the Harrisville plant. A new successor contract between the parties had just been executed, as of July 14, 2004, for the period of July 14, 2004 to July 14, 2007. During bargaining in the summer of 2004, the Company did not notify the Union that plant was closing or likely to close. Rather, at the commencement of bargaining, the Company announced that the Harrisville facility, like all other Company facilities, were under review.
- On September 8, 2004, the Company announced that the production line would 15. shutdown permanently on September 10, 2004 at the end of the second shift (12:00 a.m.); all production workers would be permanently laid off as of September 10, 2004. The Company announced that all other employees of the Harrisville plant would be permanently laid off on or before October 15, 2004.
- As of September 10, 2004, the production line was permanently closed and the 16. plant was effectively shutdown. The only workers who continued to work at the plant were those who had accepted temporary positions winding down and disassembling the plant or serving as plant guards.

- 17. As a result of the shutdown described in paragraphs 13-16, there were at least 50 affected employees who suffered an employment loss at the Harrisville plant as defined by the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2101(a)(5), 2102(d).
- 18. The shutdown described in paragraphs 13-15 constitutes a plant closing within the meaning of the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2101(a)(2).

Cause of Action

19. The Company failed to provide the notice to the Union mandated by the WARN Act of its intent to order a plant closing 60 days prior to ordering said plant closing, thereby violating the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2102(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- 1. That the Court declare that the Defendant has violated its statutory obligations under the WARN Act, and grant judgment for the Plaintiff and against Defendant;
- 2. That the Court order the Defendant to pay 60 days of wages and other benefits, with pre-judgment interest, to each affected employee in accordance with the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(1);
 - 3. That the Court award Plaintiff its costs and attorney fees;
 - 4. That the Court award Plaintiff such other relief as it deems proper.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA,

By their attorneys,

arren H. Pyle, BBO #408400

Nicole Horberg Decter, BBO #658268

Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg, & Liss-Riordan,

P.C.

18 Tremont Street, 5th Floor

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-7200

Dated: November 23, 2004

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.		TITLE OF CASE (NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) United Steelworkers of America.							
	AFL-CIO/CLC v. Pliant Corporation								
_		WHOLE THE OACE OF OMOR DACED HOOM THE MINE	SEDED NATUR	E OF SHIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVI					
2.		TEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL							
	I.	VER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)). 1. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUITE AND A SECOND A SECO							
	<u>K</u> u.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 89		*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases					
		110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 380, 385, 450, 891.	371,	170					
	_ IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	650,4	-12489W					
	_ v. `	150, 152, 153.	.						
3.	TITLE AND NUM HAS BEEN FILE	BER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RUI D IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AN	LE 40.1(G)). IF ID NUMBER OF	MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT.					
4.	HAS APRIOR A	CTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED O	N THE SAME C	CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS					
			YES	(NO')					
5.	DOES THE COM	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIO	NALITY OF AN	ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE					
		ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)							
			YES	NO					
	IF SO, IS THE U	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THI	E U.S. A PARTY	(3)					
			YES	NO					
6.	IS THIS CASE R 28 USC §2284?	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DIS	TRICT COURT	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE					
			YES	NO					
7.	COMMONWEAL	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNME TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENC ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	NTAL AGENCII CIES"), RESIDI	ES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE NG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE					
			YES	NO					
A. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNI									
		EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DI	VISION	WESTERN DIVISION					
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MAJORITY OF GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, RESIDING IN MASS							
	•	EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DI	VISION	WESTERN DIVISION					
(P	LEASE TYPE OR	PRINT)							
Αſ		Nicole Horberg Decter							
Αi	DDRESS Pyl	e, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Li	ss-Riorda	an 18 Tremont St., Ste. 500					
TE	LEPHONE NO	617-367-7200		Boston,MA 02108					

%JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	ns . MP2	: 33					
		ted Steelworkers o erica, AFL-CIO/CI		**	liant Corporation					
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF	**	County of Residen	ce of First Listed	SOLVINICAL SECTION					
(27.			NOTE: IN LAND	NOTE: IN LAND CONTENNATION CASES USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
Nicole Horberg Decter	e, Address, and Telephone t & Warren Pyle	Number)	Attorileys (If Kno Richard Marcus 312-876-8177	wn)						
617-367-7200 Pyle, Rome, Lichten, E 18 Tremont St., Suite			Sonnenschien, Nath, and Rosenthal 8000 Sears Tower, Chicago, IL 60606							
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF PEF DEF						
U.S. Government			Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State							
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal 5 5 5							
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	66					
IV. NATURE OF SU				D I MICOLIDECT	OTHER STATUTES					
CONTRACT	TOI PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment					
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	1 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.					
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and					
Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Find Metazana)	hudgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange					
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits			LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410					
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations		891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act					
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE	ITIONS 730 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information Act					
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination					
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare	Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	790 Other Labor Litigation	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes					
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	890 Other Statutory Actions					
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Transferred from Judge from Judge from										
Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Cour										
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)										
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:										
COMPLAINT:	JURY DEMANI): X Yes No								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER										
DATE 11/24/2004 11/24/2004										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT#AMOUNTAPPLYING IFPJUDGEMAG. JUDGE										